

## Jeremiah

### Jeremiah 51

<sup>42</sup>The sea is come up upon Babylon: she is covered with the multitude of the waves thereof.

The *sea* in Scripture often represents the depths of uncleanness. All the filth of the oceans settles on the bottom, where bottom-feeders dwell. The sea often represents unclean, cursed peoples. In II King 17: 24,31 the King of Assyria important pagan, mingled peoples to occupy the land of Israel after deporting the Israelites; one of these people was the Sepharvaim / Sepharvites, which according to Dictionary of Scripture Proper Names by Jackson and also Exhaustive Dictionary of Bible Names by Cornwall and Smith, means, “census of the sea”. It is from the sea that the beasts of Revelation (13:1) and Daniel (7:2,3) emerge. Possibly, this is even an ironic slap in the face of the fools who believe in evolution (who believe that all life evolved from some ooze that crawled out of the sea, grew arms, legs, intelligence, a personality, and a vivid imagination)—since death and destruction emerge from the sea.

Jeremiah 51:

<sup>43</sup>Her cities are a desolation, a dry land, and a wilderness, a land wherein no man <sup>#376</sup> *iysh* dwelleth, neither doth *any* son

of man <sup>#120</sup> *awdawm* pass thereby. Here is both an irony and evidence that the sea in v.22 does not refer to the literal ocean. The sea in v.22 clearly refers to the Medes (Jeremiah 50:3,41,42)—descendants of Abraham through Keturah—the fierce nation from the north whom God would use to punish Babylon.

*Dry land* seems to refer to spiritually dead.

#376 *iysh* refers to man, usually in some type of covenant relation.

#120 *awdawm*, of course, refers to the pure descendants of Adam: Adamkind.

Babylon means, “confusion by mixing” and represents the corrupt world and mingled peoples; even as does Egypt. However, God hamstrung Egypt and said that they would never amount to anything; so Babylon takes her place as representing all the corruption and impurity and immorality and violations of the boundaries that God established. *Babylon* and *Babel* are the exact same Hebrew word #894 *baw-bel*.

“He was a mighty hunter before the LORD: wherefore it is said, Even as Nimrod the mighty hunter before the LORD.”

Nimrod was the son of Cush, who was the firstborn of Ham. Not all of Ham’s sons were cursed; Canaan alone was cursed because he was born of incest (see my, *What Was the Mark that God Placed on Cain?*).

The Philistines were not cursed, though the majority were immoral and godless; there were 600 armed men of Gath, Philistines (I Samuel 27:2; II Samuel 15:13-23), who were faithful warriors for King David and lived in Jerusalem, and were loyal to him when David fled from his son Absalom, and who could approach the court of the nations outside the Temple and join themselves to Israel; the pure of their women being allowed to marry into Israel. The Philistines descended from Philistim, son of Casluhim, son of Mizraim (progenitor of the original Egyptians, who were not black), second son of Ham.

Nimrod was pure evil (to use a poignant oxymoron)—entirely untainted by goodness; like Esau, pure in his generations, but a vessel of wrath by God’s determination. As I show in What Was the Mark...?, “mighty hunter before the Lord” means, “warlord / tyrant (he hunted *men*) in Yahweh’s Face”; that is, in flagrant immoral rebellion.

“<sup>10</sup>And the beginning of his [Nimrod’s] kingdom was Babel, and Erech, and Accad, and Calneh, in the land of Shinar.” (Genesis 10)

Thus, it seems that Nimrod founded Babylon. This does not necessarily mean that the Babylonians were Hamites, but like Cain he conquered a people and made himself their lord; like the Edomite prince in exile in Egypt, *Hadad*, later went to Syria

(with an Edomite retinue) and most probably *by conspiracy* became king over Syria in Solomon’s day (I Kings 11:14). About 159 years later, *Benhadad\** was murdered in conspiracy ordained of the Lord, by the equally evil Hazeel, who made himself king of Syria (II Kings 8).

[\* Ben-hadad means, “son of Hadad”; but that does not necessitate his being son of the Edomite King Hadad of Syria; though it is quite possible; in fact, quite probable—and if that be the case, then the modern-day Syrians, who have intermarried with many middle-eastern peoples, are most-probably part Edomite (and most probably part Hittite, since the Hittite Kingdom just north and west of them, a powerful kingdom, became the Turks. If the rulers of Syria were Edomites, you can be certain that they imported many more of their kinsmen to help them govern and enjoy the spoils of conquest.]

“<sup>11</sup>Out of that land went forth Asshur, and builded Nineveh, and the city Rehoboth, and Calah, <sup>12</sup>And Resen between Nineveh and Calah: the same *is* a great city.” (Genesis 10)

Asshur, possibly to escape the tyranny of Nimrod, evacuated the region of Babylon, with a retinue of his own, and formed the Kingdom of Assyria / Asshuria.

[It is very perplexing why so many names and words in the Bible (*Babylon, Assyria, Scythian, Syrian, martyr*, etc.) are given a “y” in their English transliteration / Anglicization. There is no letter “y” in the Greek alphabet. There is only an “i” (iota), which serves for both a “y” and “i” in our language. However, thought Hebrew has the

letter *yod*, various Hebrew words that are rendered into Greek do not have a “y” or an “i”—but a “u”...! The capital Greek letter u / *upsilon* (Υ) looks like a Y in our alphabet, but it is not—and language and Bible scholars *knew* this. So why so many of the Greek words in the New Testament are given a y instead of a u, is a *musterio\** indeed!

\* mystery. The Greek word is #3466 *musteyrion*.]

*Asshur* was a son of Shem, and the *Elamites* and *Aramaeans* (original Syrians), likewise, descended from the sons of Shem, Elam and Aram. Oddly, the Hebrew word *Aramaeans* is rendered as “Syrian” in the Bible.

“<sup>22</sup>The children of Shem; Elam, and Asshur, and Arphaxad, and Lud, and Aram. <sup>23</sup>And the children of Aram; Uz, and Hul, and Gether, and Mash. <sup>24</sup>And Arphaxad begat Salah; and Salah begat Eber.” (Genesis 10)

Arphaxad was the grandfather of Eber, father of the Hebrews. However, most probably Arphaxad was also the father of the *Chaldaens*; the English word *Chaldaeans* is actually from the Hebrew word #3778 *kasdiy*, more properly rendered into English as *Kasids* or *Kasidites*, patronymic of #3777 *Keh-sed*;; which quite possibly is an extraction or root of *Arpakshad*. Again, the translators seem to have mistakenly followed the Greek form of the word; and possibly the Latin Vulgate (which was a common weakness they fell into, apparently their Hebrew and Greek skills not being good enough to have no need to rely

on the Latin translation). The Latin Vulgate uses *Chaldæorum*; the Greek uses #5466 Χαλδαῖος, *Chaldaios*—which actually *preserves the x* from Arphaxad’s name, though the translators *misunderstood it* and rendered it a *ch* instead, giving us the word *Chaldeans*—even in the Old Testament. The *x* or *ch* is not pronounced like the English “ch” as in *chew*; but is closer to the “ks” of *axe* or *Saxon*; but more like the guttural German in *milch* or Scottish in *loch*. For the pure “k” sound, Greek has a letter *k*, *kappa*.

The *Chaldaeans* and *Aramaens* were in close association, living close together in *northern Mesopotamia* (at a later date, a contingent from *Chaldaeia* descended into *Babylon* and conquered it, revitalizing that corrupt empire). *Nebuchadnezzar* was a *Chaldaeans*. This makes it more understandable when *Moses* declares of the *Israelites*, “A[n] *Syrian* [*Aramaeans*] ready to perish was my father, and he went down into *Egypt*, and sojourned there with a few, and became there a nation,\* great, mighty, and populous” (*Deuteronomy 26:5*).

[\* This and many other passages (*Genesis 12:2; 18:18; 46:3*), show that the imposters known as *Jews / Israelis* do not understand the Hebrew language—or they purposely misrepresent it: for in these passages *God* said that He would make *Abraham, Jacob, and Israel* a great and mighty #1471, *goy / goyim*...! *Goy / Goyim*, and the various words for stranger (*zuvr, nokriy, etc.*) are all generic words that can refer to any people, whose

precise meaning is only determined by immediate context as well as harmony with the entire Word of God according to God's declared, unchanging Law. Only dull and spiritually blinded minds think that God makes "exceptions" and allowed Abraham, Moses, Boaz, etc. to marry those whom God forbade His people to marry. Likewise, Solomon's wife who was the mother of Rehoboam could not have been a racial Ammonitess, since God said all offspring from such perverse unions would be forever rejected by Him, even as with the Moabites (see my book, S.T.E.C. on Ruth: The Truth About Ruth—Ruth the Israelite!). One person on my email list recently saw an email in which I said Ruth was not a Moabite. He replied, "How is that possible?" I replied, "Order my book". He emailed last week and said, "I finished reading your book. Superb. I did not see how you were going to prove it; but you did". If Solomon's wife, the mother of Rehoboam was an Ammonite by race—all of the kings of Judah would have been rejected by God—including Christ (see Deuteronomy 17:15; 23:3-6). Likewise, if Ruth was a Moabite by race, David would have been rejected by God, and so would all the kings of Judah, and Christ. God does not make rash, silly laws in the heat of the moment and then later ignore them Himself and hope that we won't notice. God is True. Israelites often moved to surrounding lands (see Jeremiah 40:11,12), especially in times of famine (this is what Elimelech and Naomi did in the Book of Ruth), and if they were raised speaking the language of those peoples, and even following their customs, they were called by that name (Elimelech and Naomi's sons were born in the land of Israel, but the *Israelite* women they married were born in Moab

and had been "Moabized" by culture. Thus, the so-called "Gentiles" to whom the apostles were sent, were the Israelites of the dispersion (whom God promised to regather) who had become "Gentilized" or more properly, *Helenized*. God scattered wheat; He said He would regather wheat; therefore, when He sent out the reapers, they were not commissioned to bring in tares or thistles—but wheat. In Christ's parable, "every kind" of fish were gathered into the net—however, the bad [putrid] fish were *cast away* (consigned to the flames), but the good were kept (Matthew 13:47-50). None are good on their own, but are only good if God has ordained unto life and imparts the Holy Spirit unto them, with the Fruit-package of the Spirit. God is not the one confused. God is True. Man's mind needs to conform with the word of God and not attempt to force humanism and sinful man's notion of "fairness" onto God and force it into unnatural "theology".]

After Abraham and his family left Ur of the *Chaldees* [which is a *better* rendering into English of #3778], which was in *far north-eastern* Mesopotamia; not in Southern Mesopotamia) on his way to Canaan, as God had commanded, they stopped in Aram and settled there until Terah, Abraham's father died.

[For more details, see my *Peoples of the Bible* chart, as well as my S.T.E.C. on Genesis / Judges; in Appendix P, the origins all the peoples of the Bible are discussed in detail.]

Jeremiah 51:

<sup>44</sup>And I will punish Bel in Babylon, and I will bring forth out of his mouth that which

he hath swallowed up: and the nations shall not flow together any more unto him: yea, the wall of Babylon shall fall.

*Bel* (or more properly *Beyl*) was the patron diety of Babylon (*baw-Bel*); *beyl* being a variant of the word *baal*, meaning “master”.

Herein are many ironies and parallels.

#894 *Babulon / Babel* (*baw-bel*), which means, “confusion” has as its root #1101 *baw-lahl*, meaning, “to overflow, to mix”. Thus, one irony is in the verse, that nations shall no longer flow unto Babel (sort of like the vortex created by a drain) and the word Babel in its root means to overflow (a toilet would be appropriate in this case). This is compounded by the fact that we are told in the last days, the dragon / serpent will cast forth a flood out of his mouth to overtake the woman’s child (God’s true people); but God will cause the earth to receive the flood (open a hole between the flood and God’s people, to drain off the flood). Furthermove, the name of the Prince of Judah who returned after the expired 70 years of captivity in Babylon, who was made Governor, was Zerubbabel (though an alternate name, his Babylonian name was *Sheshbazzar*), which name means, “flowing away from Babel” or “flowing out of confusion” or “flowing away from overflowing”; depending upon the various levels of meaning.

The Dragon spews forth the flood out of his mouth to drown God’s people, whereas Babel attempted to swallow up into its mouth God’s people (and all others).

Punishing Bel, of course, means punishing the pagan, ignorant, sinful, rebellious people who worshipped the non-existent god.

The *wall* of Babylon, of course, represents the defense of the city / empire, which God would cast down. Belshazzar, king of Babylon (son of Nebuchadnezzar), threw a feast for 1,000 of his nobles, and defiled the gold and silver vessels taken from the Temple of the Lord in Jerusalem, by drinking from them and “praising the gods of gold, silver, brass, iron, wood, and stone”—that very night Belshazzar was slain and the Chaldean Kingdom of Babylon fell to the Medes.

Note clearly, the return of the tiny remnant from Babylon was not the regathering of Israel. That tiny remnant was only about 1% of the Israelites. Futhermore, Scripture also prophesies:

“<sup>11</sup>And it shall come to pass in that day, that the Lord shall set His Hand again the second time to recover the remnant of his people, which shall be left, from Assyria, and from Egypt, and from Pathros, and from Cush, and from Elam, and from Shinar, and from Hamath, and from the islands of the sea.  
<sup>12</sup>And He shall set up an ensign for the nations, and shall as-

semble the outcasts of Israel, and restore His people.

gather together the dispersed of Judah **from the four corners of the earth**....<sup>16</sup>And there shall be an highway for the remnant of his people, which shall be left, from Assyria; like as it was to Israel in the day that he came up out of the land of Egypt.” (Isaiah 11)

God also declares prophetically through Isaiah for whom Christ was sacrificed:

“for the transgression of My people was He stricken.... my righteous Servant [shall] justify **many**; for He shall bear **their iniquities**” (Isaiah 53:8,11).

Christ died for all (of the elect of) true Adam-Israel.

“Thou shalt call His Name Yehoshua: For He shall save His people from their sins” (Matthew 1:21).

“Even as the Son of man came not to be ministered unto, but to minister, and to give His Life a ransom for **many**.” (Matthew 20:28)

<sup>4</sup>“Who are Israelites; to whom pertaineth the adoption, and the glory, and the covenants, and the giving of the law, and the service of God, and the promises; <sup>5</sup>Whose are the fathers, and of whom as concerning the flesh Christ came.” (Romans 9)

God promised His people that He would make a full end of all other peoples, but not His people; He would sift and regather and

“For I am with thee, saith the LORD, to save thee: though I make a full end of all nations whither I have scattered thee, yet will I not make a full end of thee: but I will correct thee in measure, and will not leave thee altogether unpunished.” (Jeremiah 30:11; 46:28)

God is Immutable. He cannot change. He cannot lie. He cannot violate His Word, Covenant, Promise, Oath.

“Or hath God assayed to go and take him a nation from the midst of another nation? .... For Jacob My servant’s sake, and Israel Mine elect.... Look unto Abraham your father, and unto Sarah that bare you: for I called him alone, and blessed him, and increased him..... And I will bring forth a seed out of Jacob, and out of Judah an inheritor of my mountains: and Mine elect shall inherit it, and My servants shall dwell there.... You only have I known of all the families of the earth” (Deuteronomy 4:34; Isaiah 45:4; 51:2; 65:9; Amos 3:2)

The New Covenant [Christic Covenant] was made with the same people as the Old:

<sup>31</sup>Behold, the days come, saith the LORD, that I will make a New Covenant with the House of Israel, and with the House of Judah: <sup>32</sup>Not according to the Covenant that I made with their fathers in the day that I took



them by the hand to bring them out of the land of Egypt; which My Covenant they brake, although I was an husband unto them, saith the LORD: <sup>33</sup>But this shall be the Covenant that I will make with the House of Israel; After those days, saith the LORD, I will put My Law\* in their inward parts, and write it in their hearts; and will be their God, and they shall be My people. <sup>34</sup>And they shall teach no more every man his neighbour, and every man his brother, saying, 'Know the LORD': for they shall all know Me, from the least of them unto the greatest of them, saith the LORD: for I will forgive their iniquity, and I will remember their sin no more. <sup>35</sup>Thus saith the LORD, which giveth the sun for a light by day, and the ordinances of the moon and of the stars for a light by night, which divideth the sea when the waves thereof roar; Yahweh of hosts is His Name: <sup>36</sup>If those ordinances depart from before Me, saith the LORD, then the seed of Israel also shall cease from being a nation before Me for ever. <sup>37</sup>Thus saith the LORD; If heaven above can be measured, and the foundations of the earth searched out beneath, I will also cast off all the seed of Israel for all that they have done, saith the LORD." (Jeremiah 31) [\* This is proof that Christ did not abolish the Law. Why would Christ abolish what God promised to write on our hearts? That's like a boy

getting the name of his girlfriend tatoed on his chest—even though he plans on breaking up with her! God is not a silly, love-struck, confused boy. However, most "Christians" and Bible "scholars" clearly are. My people are destroyed because they lack knowledge; they think God abolished His Law *because they don't even know God!*]

<sup>8</sup>For finding fault with them\*, He saith, Behold, the days come, saith the Lord, when I will make a New Covenant with the House of Israel and with the House of Judah: <sup>9</sup>Not according to the Covenant that I made with their fathers in the day when I took them by the hand to lead them out of the land of Egypt; because they continued not in My Covenant, and I regarded them not, saith the Lord. <sup>10</sup>For this is the Covenant that I will make with the House of Israel after those days, saith the Lord; I will put My Laws into their mind, and write them in their hearts: and I will be to them a God, and they shall be to me a people: <sup>11</sup>And they shall not teach every man his neighbour, and every man his brother, saying, 'Know the Lord': for all shall know Me, from the least to the greatest. <sup>12</sup>For I will be merciful to their unrighteousness, and their sins and their iniquities will I remember no more." (Hebrews 8) [\* It was not the Old Covenant that was faulty—but God's people.]

God Promised to never utterly cast off His people—and He

promised to never choose any other people (for that would invalidate the Covenant: for a Covenant is no longer a special Promise if those outside it are granted entrance to it; “Spiritual Israel” is Antichrist false doctrine fabricated to cause the elect to mingle their seed and breed their children out of the family of God).

Jeremiah 51:

<sup>45</sup>My people, go ye out of the midst of her, and deliver ye every man his soul from the fierce anger of the LORD. Intermingling with the heathen, reducing Christendom to Babylon, incurs the Wrath of Yahweh.

<sup>17</sup>Wherefore come out from among them, and be ye separate, saith the Lord, and touch not the unclean *thing* [people]; and I will receive you, <sup>18</sup>And will be a Father unto you, and ye shall be My sons and daughters, saith the Lord Almighty.” (II Corinthians 6)

This New Testament passage employs concepts from various Old Testament passages:

“Depart ye, depart ye, go ye out from thence, touch\* no unclean *thing* [people]; go ye out of the midst of her; be ye clean, that bear the vessels of the LORD.” (Isaiah 52:11)

[\* The Hebrew #5060 naw-gah can mean, “come nigh, draw near, or join” and it also euphemistically means, “to lie down carnally with a woman”. Often before deliverance, God would command the

people to fast and purify themselves, which entailed washing with water—and men not having sexual relations with their wives. Here, God is calling His people out of Babylon and they were commanded to divorce all their pagan wives, and send them and all children by them away—and leave Babylon behind! Those who refuse to do so are the enemy of God and His people, divorce themselves from the Covenants, and attempt to destroy God’s people. And God said His people are His Temple and whosoever would defile the Temple of God, him would God destroy. We are His people indeed *if* we come out from among them, remain separate, and join not with the unclean people—and only then are we His sons and daughters indeed. Whereas in Hosea, God said, “My people are destroyed for lack of knowledge: because thou hast rejected knowledge, I will also reject thee, that thou shalt be no priest to Me: seeing thou hast forgotten the Law of thy God, I will also forget thy children.” (4:6)]

“...and [I] will be their God, and they shall be My people.” (Jeremiah 31:33)