

**Are
You
an
Anti-Semite...?**

**Robert Alan Balaicius
Sacred Truth Ministries**

[An email version of this tract is available and can be emailed and shared freely, as long as it is emailed / printed out in its entirety with proper credit / address / email address of author / publisher. Inquire for bulk rates for multiple copies of this booklet or a 4-fold, 1 legal-size sheet of paper pamphlet form.]

*For a list of hundreds of other titles like this book, email us;
name the subject of the email the title of this book
in case it goes to the spam folder.*

All rights reserved.

Copyright © 2013
Sacred Truth Publishing



Sacred Truth Publishing
P.O. Box 18,
Mountain City, Tennessee 37683
Christian Constitutional Republic of the United States of America

sacredtruthpublishing@mounet.com

ISBN 1-58840-393-9

Someone told and asked me:

“Somebody once asked me if I am an anti-Semite. When people say ‘anti-Semite’ they mean anti-Jew not knowing any true history from the Bible. A Semite is the progeny of Shem, Noah’s first born. Do I have this correct? So when people say ‘anti-Semites’ they mean *anti-Shem* and his bloodline not ‘anti-jewish.’ Do I have this right?”

Below was my initial reply, and then I decided to write this small booklet:

First of all.

1. Yes, they (on many levels of ignorance) mean *anti-jewish* but are confused (unless they are archaeologists or a socio-anthropologists of ancient peoples and for some invalid reason think you don’t like those ancient peoples).

2. Correction: Shem was Noah’s *middle* son.

3. No, when people say anti-Semite they don’t really mean anti-Shem, because as we established in question #1 they are ignorant. They don’t know Shem. They have no curiosity concerning your feelings toward a Shem they don’t know exists. They are only (prejudicially) interested in your feelings toward *Jews*.

A good way to possibly handle this question is like this:

Other: Are you an anti-Semite?

You: I will answer your question if you first answer mine. Are you anti-hobble-gobble?

Other: What the heck is hobble-gobble?

You: My point exactly. How can I tell you if I am anti-“something” unless you define your terms and give me the legitimate meaning of Semite, or in lieu of your actually knowing what it actually means, at least explain to me “what it means to you in your mind,” whether your concept is right or wrong.

[The normal reaction first may be: Huh...? but when they recover, when the stalled engine kicks back on, they will probably reply:]

Other: A Jew.

[—trying to get complete sentences out of some people is like pulling teeth, but be a good dentist. See also my booklet,

Confessions of an Anesthetized Person known as Jewish, the dialogue of a dentist; mentioned at the end of this tract.]

You: I am sorry, can you please form a coherent thought and put it into a complete sentence and verbalize an intelligible definition so that I may then have something I can actually properly and truthfully answer.

[In frustration, consciously with you, but subconsciously with his own stupidity, if he has not given up already, he might reply rather curtly...]

Other: A Semite is a Jew.

You: Well, that is quite incorrect and of course I cannot testify to or confess my views yea or nay in answer to your question because your question is based on error.

Other: What?

You: A Semite is not a Jew any more than a dog is a beagle.

Other: What do you mean...? —of course a dog is a beagle.

You: Obviously you have bumped your head somewhere along the way and are thinking backwards. How long did it take for you to stop seeing stars?

Other: Huh?

You: A dog is not a beagle because “beagle” is merely one breed of dog. Since there are around 350 *breeds* of the singular species *dog*, then *no*, the statement “a dog is a beagle” is patently and categorically false; that statement is logically invalid as well as untrue.

Other: Huh?

You: If you and I were walking along and I asked you out of the blue (no dog being present), “Is this dog a beagle?” —what would your answer be?

Other: How could I possibly answer that?

You: My point exactly. If you and I were playing “50 questions” or “animal, vegetable, mineral,” or “I am thinking of something _____” and I asked you, “Is this dog [one you cannot see and for which I have offered no physical or behavioral or trait description]... is this dog a beagle,” you could **not** answer yes or no. You could GUESS yes or no, but that is not an intelligent conversation that

will explain truth. But I don't believe you are asking me to "guess" if I myself am anti-Semitic; are you?

Other: Huh?

You: Okay, let's put on our thinking caps and I will reduce speed and pull into the right-hand lane for slower traffic. All dogs are not beagles. While all beagles indeed are dogs, all dogs are not beagles.

Other: What is your point?

You: "My" point is that I am trying to find out what *your* point is but *you yourself* don't seem to know it; so it seems that I must, like the Israelites in bondage in Egypt "make bricks without straw;" or like King Nebuchadnezzar, you want me to interpret your dream without you even telling me what your dream was.

Other: Huh?

You: How can I possibly answer your question (and what purpose is there even to it), "am I an anti-Semite"—when *you yourself* don't even know what a Semite is?

Other: Okay, then what is a Semite?

You: Great, we are actually making progress. Shem was the middle son of Noah; you remember Noah right? (rhetorical question, no need for an answer, nod if you like). Semites are those legitimate descendents of Shem (whose name can also be *Sem*); who can also be called *Shemites*. The variation is a mere peculiarity of the Hebrew language in spelling or pronunciation; sort of like how in Russian a word can be pronounced or spelled with either a *v* or an *f* (or even a double *f*) and it is the same word; such as: Smirnov or Smirnoff; or as in German a word that is only spelled with an *s* (depending upon region) can be pronounced *sh*.

Other: Okay...

You: Shem himself only had legitimate descendents, so we have no problem for at least one generation. Shem's sons were Elam, Asshur, Arphaxad, Lud, and Aram.

Other: Okay...

You: Elam was the father of the Elamites; Asshur of the Assyrians, Arphaxad of the Chaldaeans: Chaldaean is really the *Greek form* of the Hebrew word *Kasdiy*, from *Kesed* (the "kes" being a variation of the x in the last

syllable of Arphaxad's name, -xad (an *x*, in reality is a *ks*; *ax* is the same as *aks*).

Other: Okay, I think I am following you...

You: Good. Lud was the father of the Luddites (a less prominent tribe also called Lydians), and Aram was the father of the Aramaeans (from whom the Syrians also later descended).

Other: Okay, I recognize some of those peoples. But I am not sure I see where this is going.

You: All these people, Elamites, Assyrians, Chaldaeans, Luddites, and Aramites were *Semites*—and originally they were all pure or *legitimate Semites*.

Other: Okay... (I think...)

You: Arphaxad had another prominent line, other than the Chaldaeans. One of Arphaxad's sons, Salah, was the father of Eber. Heber was the progenitor of the tribe known as Hebrews.

Other: Okay, I see...

You: Several generations later, God called Abraham to move from a region of far northeastern Mesopotamia, called "Ur of the Chaldees. He and his family, his father and brother and their families stopped for a time in Aram (or more specifically Padan-aram, the highland plateaus of Aram) and founded a settlement known as Haran (named in honor of Abraham's older brother Haran, who died before they left Ur).

Other: go on...

You: Abraham eventually arrived in Canaan, along the shore of the Mediterranean, whither God led him. The land was already peopled by various corrupt tribes known as Canaanites (descendants of the incestuously born Canaan, the son of Ham, Noah's youngest son, who disgracefully violated his own mother*).

[* See, What Was the Mark that God Placed on Cain...?, mentioned at the end of this tract.]

Other: gross....

You: Yeah, how repugnant. *Canaan* was then cursed; seems unfair, but if someone has bubonic plague or leprosy, due to no fault of his own, he has to be quarantined so *everyone* doesn't get infected and die, right...?

Other: yeah, I guess... makes sense...

You: Initially, Adam and Eve's son Seth married his own sister; full-sibling marriages at that point in time were not a genetic problem; but in time, inbreeding would cause permanent genetic mutations. God, after some point in time, established rigid laws concerning how close families could marry. Relations of parents with their own offspring (and the resultant in-bred offspring) were never acceptable.

Other: That makes sense.

You: Canaan, being cursed, left the company of the Hebrews and went and married the line of Cain that had survived the Flood.* Abraham's nephew Lot, upon fleeing Sodom when God rained down brimstone upon it, hid in the mountains; his wife had looked back and died; his 2 daughters for some reason (well intentioned) thought all of their kin were dead and wanted to honor their father and raise up seed in his name; so they got him drunk 2 nights in a row and each lay with him and each had a child; however, like Canaan, these were born of incest and forever cursed by God. These sons (who then intermarried with other peoples, most probably Canaanites) and their descendents became known as Ammonites and Moabites.

[* Again, see, What Was the Mark...?.]

Other: okay, go on.

You: Abraham's son was Isaac; Isaac sired Jacob and Esau. Jacob's name was changed by God to Israel and he became the father of the Israelites.

Other: Yes, I know this, go on...

You: Esau chose to turn his back on God and intermarry with the vile Canaanites (which God had forbidden) and his children became known as Edomites (because Esau's nickname was Edom, which means "red"; probably from his red hair; he was a hairy man); one of Esau's grandsons became the father of the Amalekites. All these people, the many tribes of Canaanites, the Edomites and the Amalekites (both also Canaanite tribes with just a little Hebrew blood), the Moabites and the Ammonites are generally confused by most people as being "Semitic;" when in reality they are not, they are illegitimate and Semites only "loosely" but in

actuality, they are not.

Other: Okay, now you lost me. Why?

You: Is a mule a horse?

Other: No, of course not.

You: What was a mule's mother?

Other: It was a horse, of course.

You: So then why is a mule not a horse?

Other: because its father was a donkey; the mule is not a horse, it is a bastard.

You: Exactly, and that is why the Canaanites are not Semites.

Other: oooooooooohhhhhhhh... [💡]

You: [—love the sound of a lightbulb turning on!] Jacob/Israel had 12 sons who became the 12 tribes of Israel. One of those sons was Judah, and he became the father of the Judahites or Judeans. This word was later corrupted into the word *Jew*, but has no relation to the tribe of Judah and actually describes a completely different people.

Other: What do you mean?

You: Have you ever been to New York City, or Miami, or Los Angeles or some other large, “cosmopolitan” city?

Other: Yes... why?

You: Do you think 95% of those individuals filling those cities descended from our Founding Fathers.

Other: Ha, no, of course not.

You: Yet they are all called “Americans,” are they not?

Other: Yes.

You: Likewise, there are Nigerians and Turks and Iranians living in Germany—are they *Germans*...?

Other: Of course not.

You: Well, they may have citizenship in Germany, they may have had children born in Germany; but they are not really Germans any more than a German born in Arabia is an Arab.

Other: Right.

You: So it is with the Jews and the Israelites and the land of Israel and Judah. The Jews did not descend from

Israel legitimately.

Other: Where did they come from?

You: from those Edomite Canaanites. The Canaanites were a vile, abominably evil people, inbred from Noah's son Ham and having also married into the evil cursed line of Cain (which is a whole other story). Esau married into Canaanite families and was cursed and disowned, and he lost his birthright and inheritance (he was the actual firstborn, but he forfeited it by turning against God and his own family). The Canaanites had populated the Land of Canaan (later renamed the Land of Israel) for around 800 years—but the earth is the Lord's and the fullness thereof, and the earth did He give to the children of Adam and divided it up according to the number of the children of Israel. Though the Canaanites had lived in that region for nearly a millennium, it was not their land; they were illegal squatters and they were evil to the core and God commanded His people Israel to utterly destroy and evict them all. The Canaanites didn't like that—and the Edomites and Amalekites didn't like the fact that Jacob had cheated their forefather Esau out of his birthright either (which would have been their inheritance; or so they imagined in their own minds).

Other: okay...

You: Well the Israelites disobeyed God for many centuries. God had commanded them to remain separate from all illegitimate peoples and be holy and pure unto Him—and to not even associate with other peoples lest they learn or adopt their ways (much the way many of our white youth walk, and dress, and talk like africans and listen to rap and have adopted other vile, disgraceful, godless manners and thinking). God, being Longsuffering, but also Holy, finally put His foot down and demanded Judgment and had the Assyrians and Babylonians conquer and deport Israel into captivity. The kings of Assyria and Babylon also moved pagan tribes in to people the now-vacant land of Israel; and many Canaanite tribes saw this as their golden opportunity to take back the land from which the Israelites had evicted them, and to steal back Esau's birthright. It is these people who are the Jews.

Other: oooooooooohhhhhhhh... [💡]

You: They never were God's people. However, part of God's Judgment on His people Israel for their many unrepentant sins, was that they would become blind to their own identity (for a time; until the latter days when prophecy was to be fulfilled, before the return of Christ). True Israel and Judah then migrated into all the world (in fulfillment of prophecy) and appeared under new but similar names: the *Jutes* (tribe of Judah), *Danes* (tribe of Dan), *Saxons* (sons of Isaac), *British* (people of the Covenant), etc. Only about 42,000 (out of about 13 million) of the Israelites of the House of Judah (the tribes of Judah, Benjamin, and Levi) returned to the land of Israel 70 years after their captivity. The Canaanites (or Jews) who had been living in the land did not like the Israelites coming back *again*, and they did all they could to try to keep them from rebuilding the walls of Jerusalem (its capitol) and the Temple—even taking them to court on perjured testimony and trumped up charges (some things never change).

Other: Wow.

You: Eventually, God's people, the Israelites in the land of Israel, again turned from His Ways. In fulfillment of prophecy, for our rebellion, the enemy among us would become the head and we the tail, and they would make us few in number. Even as the nations of Christendom have been innundated with the Third World to the point that they outnumber us in our own lands, this very thing had happened to the small remnant of Israel in the land of Israel. That is why, in Christ's day, Christ spoke to the mixed multitude *in parables*, because the truth was meant only for His people to understand. Incidentally, King Herod (appointed by Rome) was an Edomite, who tried to murder Christ while He was yet an infant, and Judas Iscariot was himself an Edomite.

Other: I think I am beginning to see.

You: The Jews are the ones who founded communism (and the majority of the leaders of communism in all nations were Jews*¹) and murdered 180 million*² white European Christians (the *true* holocaust), and are the ones who have ever been behind slavery*³ (even today), drugs, pornography, and constitute the de-Christianizing, de-

moralizing, and the subversive element within all of the nations of Christendom; causing them to degenerate into multicultural, pagan, immoral, bankrupt communist states, their sovereignty and constitutions having been subverted, their economic prosperity destroyed and drowning them in insurmountable debt; all immorality and perversion being placed on a pedestal and even subsidized, while traditional Christians and patriots labeled “terrorists.”

[*1 See, Behind Communism (1951), Frank Britton, 97pp., 8.00 + P&H; and The Stalin Death (c.1953) Kenneth Goff; Edited by Robert Balaičius With Copious Notes Constituting “A Bolshevik Primer” and Illustrations, 88pp., 8.00 + P&H.

*2 See, The Human Cost of Soviet Communism, US Senate, 1971, 40pp., 4.00 + P&H; The Secret Holocaust, Mullins, 32pp., 3.50 + P&H; and The U. S. War Department, 1919: The Power & Aims of International Jewry (1919; Secret classified until 1973); 36pp., 3.50 + P&H.]

Other: Wow.

You: Abraham also had a son by a white Egyptian woman (the Egyptians were originally *white*, descended from Ham’s son Mizraim—and we saw God cursed *Canaan*; He did not “turn Ham black”). This son, Ishmael, was pure (and Esau even married an Ishmaelite woman or two when he saw his marrying Canaanite women displeased his parents). But in time, the Ishmaelites (with 12 princes) also mongrelized by marrying other illegitimate peoples (Canaanites, africans, asians, etc.); so though they were originally legitimate Semites, after a few centuries they were not. Abraham also sired some other sons through Keturah. These became the progenitors of the Brahmins, The Medians (or Medes), the Midianites, and other peoples. Again, initially they were legitimate (some of them remained pure for many, many centuries), but in time they also mongrelized (intermarrying with jackasses) and rendered themselves illegitimate (mules); though they once were Semites (thoroughbred horses), they no longer are.

Other: Okay, I think I see.

You: So, coming back to your initial question, concerning whether I am an “Anti-semite,” I had to find out *what you meant* by *Semite*, because this evil, immoral, ignorant, dishonest world, and God’s people who are still in

blindness, now call good “evil,” and evil “good” (incurring God’s wrath) and have turned the meanings of many things inside out. You and I are actually Semites; and the Jews are not.

Other: Hey, I don’t even care any more if you are an anti-Semite (even though I now know you cannot possibly be)—I see the important thing is that I now know that *I myself* am a Semite; and I know all those other imposters are illegitimate and are not. Thank you!

You: Thank you. Hallelujah. Glad to have been of service. I myself would rather be wrongly accused of being an “anti-Semite” than to actually be an *Antichrist!*

This is just a brief tract; for in-depth, documented evidence, see some of my 60+ other works, such as):

- Uncovering the Mysteries of Your Hidden Inheritance, 192pp., pb., 16.00 + P&H; Hb., 26.00 + P&H.

See also my:

- Confessions of an Anesthetized Person known as Jewish, 60pp., booklet, 5.00 + P&H.

- God’s Chosen People: Who Is - Who Isn’t and Why, 88pp., booklet, 6.00 + P&H.

- The Post-Christian Era and the New Dark Ages; 112pp., pb. (pb. = paperback), 10.50 + P&H.

- Sacred Truth Expository Commentary: Genesis 31-37, 48, 49:5-7 & Judges 19-21, 708pp., pb., 40.00 + P&H. (history of Israel also includes detailed history of most all peoples in Bible).

- What’s Keeping God from Delivering America, Britain and Europe from Destruction...?, 112pp., pb., 10.50 + P&H.

- What Was the “Mark” that God Placed on Cain...? —Including: “The Cain-Canaan Connection,” “What Was Ham’s Sin?” “Why Was Canaan Cursed?” “Where Was Eden?” “Where Did Cain Get His Wife?” “How Did the Descendants of Cain Survive the Flood?” ...and other curious things in Scripture considered, 584pp., pb., 25.00 + P&H.

Email or send SASE for lists of many other titles (history, health, Christianity, race, civilization, exposing conspiracies, etc.).

sacredtruthpublishing@mounet.com

[Inquire for bulk rates for multiple copies of this, in tract or booklet form.]